

Cycloaddition-Cycloreversion Sequence for One-pot Preparation of Dibenzobarrelene and Janusene Derivatives from Anthracenes and 2,3-Bis (methoxycarbonyl)-7-oxanorbornadiene

Tian, Guan Rong
On leave from Yanbian University

Mori, Akira
Institute of Advanced Material Study Kyushu University

Sugiyama, Shigeru
Institute of Advanced Material Study Kyushu University

Takeshita, Hitoshi
Institute of Advanced Material Study Kyushu University

<https://doi.org/10.15017/6531>

出版情報：九州大学機能物質科学研究所報告. 2 (1), pp.95-99, 1988-06-30. Institute of Advanced Material Study Kyushu University

バージョン：

権利関係：



Cycloaddition-Cycloreversion Sequence for One-pot Preparation of Dibenzobarrelene and Janusene Derivatives from Anthracenes and 2,3-Bis- (methoxycarbonyl)-7-oxanorbornadiene

Guan Rong TIAN,[†] Akira MORI, Shigeru SUGIYAMA,
and Hitoshi TAKESHITA*

Dedicated to Professor Otohiko Tsuge on the occasion of his retirement

Dibenzobarrelenes can be prepared by Diels-Alder reaction of anthracenes with 2,3-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-7-oxanorbornadiene followed by thermal cycloreversion in good yields; sterically-hindered 9,10-dimethylanthracene smoothly furnished a corresponding dimethyl derivative even in a better yield. In the same time, janusene derivatives were obtained as by-products by successive cycloaddition-cycloreversion processes under one-pot formation conditions.

Chemical reactions of dibenzobarrelenes (1)¹⁾ are attracting considerable attentions in view of transannular charge transfer phenomenon between three spacially-oriented π -electron systems.²⁾ And thus, development of their effective method of synthesis is still a current interest, but only limited derivatives could be efficiently prepared by the known methods. Meanwhile, Butler et al. intensively explored a route to **1** from the Diels-Alder adducts of anthracenes (**2**) to dienophiles, such as norbornadiene, but the result was not really satisfactory.³⁾ Recently, we have prepared homobarrelenones⁴⁾ via a cycloaddition-cycloreversion procedure of tropones to 2,3-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-7-oxanorbornadiene (**3**). This paper deals with a facile one-step synthesis of **1** via a similar sequence.

When several **2** and **3** were heated in dimethyl sulfoxide, the formation of cycloadducts was recognized. Silica-gel column and/or high-pressure liquid chromatography led to isolate the products (**4** and **5**). By-products (**5**), obtained in all cases, were formed by the addition of **2** and dimethyl butynedioate which might be formed via cycloreversion of **3**.

Further heating of **4** at 210 °C yielded **1** together with 3,4-bis(methoxycarbonyl)furan (**6**). Table 3 summarizes the ¹H NMR data of the thermolysates, **1**. Consequently, **1** can be

Received March 15, 1988.

[†] On leave from Yanbian University, Jilin, Peoples Republic of China.

prepared from **2** and **3** in a one-pot manner without isolation of the intermediates **4**.

Furthermore, when a mixture of **2** and **3** was heated at 190 °C to cause a one-pot formation of **1**, the other type of by-products, 2:1-adducts (**7**), janusene derivatives, were produced. Presumably, the yields of **7** could be improved by heating 2:1-mixtures of **2** and **3** at that temperature.

In the ^1H NMR, characteristic signals of the methyl group at the bridge-head positions of **1b** or **1c** appeared at ca. $\delta=2.15$, that is more down-field shifted than those methyls on the typical sp^2 -carbons; three-fold anisotropy from the π -electrons attributed to this. The ^{13}C

Table 1. Diels Alder Reaction of **2** and **3**.

2	Conditions	Yields / % of Products (mp / °C)	
		4	5
2a	140 °C, 2 d	64 (204–205)	19 (156–157.5) ^{a)}
2b	140 °C, 2 d	64 (164–165)	26 (183–184) ^{b)}
2c	140 °C, 2 d	78 (159–160)	14 (180) ^{c)}

a) Ref. 5. b) Ref. 6. c) Ref. 7.

Table 2. The ^1H NMR Spectra of the Diels–Alder Adducts (**4**)

4	4a	4b	4c
NMR Chemical Shifts ^{a)}			
H–1	4.37	—	—
H–2	2.46	2.13 (d, 8.1)	2.21
H–3	4.80	4.82* ^{b)}	4.86
H–6	4.80	4.83*	4.86
H–7	2.46	2.53 (dd, 8.1, 2.9)	2.21
H–8	4.37	4.36 (d, 2.9)	—
Me	3.75	2.03, 3.75, 3.76	2.04, 3.76
Ar	7.0 – 7.3 (m)	7.0 – 7.3 (m)	7.0 – 7.3 (m)

a) Chemical shifts were expressed in δ unit in CDCl_3 , and the multiplicities and coupling constants were shown in parentheses.


b) Asterisked assignments may be reversed.

Table 3. The ^1H NMR Spectra of **1**

1a : 5.1 – 5.15 (2 H, m), 6.9 – 7.0 (6 H, m), and 7.2 – 7.3 (4 H, m).
1b : 2.16 (3 H, s), 5.08 (1 H, dd, $J=5.9, 1.5$ Hz), 6.60 (1 H, dd, $J=7.0, 1.5$ Hz), 6.9 – 7.0 (4 H, m), 7.03 (1 H, dd, $J=7.0, 5.9$ Hz), and 7.2 – 7.3 (4 H, m).
1c : 2.15 (6 H, s), 6.64 (2 H, s), 6.9 – 7.1 (4 H, m), and 7.2 – 7.3 (4 H, m).

NMR chemical shifts of the dibenzobarrelenes also revealed a good correlation to the substituent.

Since this simple procedure gives **1** in high yields, this must have a general applicability; particularly, a smooth reaction with 9,10-dimethylantracene assures that even sterically hindered derivatives can be prepared with no difficulty.



a: $R_1=R_2=H$, b: $R_1=Me$, $R_2=H$, c: $R_1=R_2=Me$

Experimental

Elemental analyses were performed by Miss S. Hirashima, of this Institute. The NMR spectra were measured in $CDCl_3$ with a JEOL FX 100 Spectrometer, and the chemical shifts were expressed in δ values. The mass spectra were measured with a JEOL OISG-2 Spectrometer. The IR spectra were taken as liquid films or KBr disks using a Jasco IR-A 102 Spectrometer. The UV spectra were measured by a Hitachi U-3200 Spectrophotometer.

Diels-Alder Reaction of 2a and 3. A dimethyl sulfoxide solution (2 cm^3) of anthracene (**2a**, 378 mg) and **3** (450 mg) is heated at $140^\circ C$ for 2 d. The mixture was then directly chromatographed on a silica-gel column (Wako-Gel C-300, 30 g) with AcOEt-hexane to give **4a** [colorless crystals, mp $204-205^\circ C$, 349.5 mg; 64%. Found: C, 74.10; H, 5.14%. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{20}O_5$: C, 74.21; H, 5.19%. ^{13}C NMR $\delta=46.9$ (2C), 47.2 (2C), 52.2 (2C), 83.1 (2C), 123.8 (4C), 125.9 (2C), 126.2 (2C), 141.1 (2C), 144.1 (2C), 145.5 (2C), and 162.9 (2C). IR ν : 1735, 1715, 1635,

and 1220 cm^{-1}] and **5a** [colorless crystals, mp $156\text{--}157\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (*lit.*⁵⁾ $160\text{--}161\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), 84.6 mg; 19%. ^1H NMR $\delta=3.77$ (6H, s), 5.47 (2H, s), 6.95–7.05 (4H, m), and 7.35–7.45 (4H, m). ^{13}C NMR $\delta=52.4$ (2C), 52.5 (2C), 123.8 (4C), 125.4 (4C), 143.8 (4C), 147.0 (4C), and 165.9 (2C). IR ν : 1720, 1640, and 1270 cm^{-1}], along with the recovered **2a** (127 mg).

Diels-Alder Reaction of 2b and 3. A dimethyl sulfoxide solution (2 cm^3) of 9-methylantracene (**2b**, 387 mg) and **3** (450 mg) is heated at $140\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 d. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed on a silica-gel column (Wako-Gel C-300, 30 g) with AcOEt-hexane to give **4b** [colorless crystals, mp $164\text{--}165\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 342.9 mg; 64%. Found: C, 74.46; H, 5.48%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_5$: C, 74.61; H, 5.51%. ^{13}C NMR $\delta=15.9$, 43.8, 47.1, 48.6, 52.2 (2C), 52.6, 81.4, 83.4, 121.2, 121.4, 123.5, 123.6, 125.8 (2C), 126.0 (2C), 141.5, 143.2, 144.6, 145.4, 145.5, 146.4, 162.8, and 162.9. IR ν : 1730, 1710, 1620, and 1220 cm^{-1}] and **5b** [colorless crystals, mp $183\text{--}184\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (*lit.*⁶⁾ $180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), 114 mg; 26%. ^1H NMR $\delta=2.15$ (3H, s), 3.73 (3H, s), 3.80 (3H, s), 5.64 (1H, s), 7.0–7.1 (4H, m), and 7.3–7.4 (4H, m). ^{13}C NMR $\delta=12.3$, 50.1, 51.5, 52.2, 52.4, 121.1 (2C), 123.6 (2C), 125.1 (2C), 125.3 (2C), 142.0, 145.5 (2C), 145.7 (2C), 155.5, 163.8, and 167.5], along with the recovered **2b** (131.4 mg).

Diels-Alder Reaction of 2c and 3. A dimethyl sulfoxide solution (1.5 cm^3) of 9,10-dimethylantracene (**2c**, 318 mg) and **3** (330 mg) is heated at $140\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 d. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed on a silica-gel column (Wako-Gel C-300, 30 g) with AcOEt-hexane to give **4c** [colorless crystals, mp $159\text{--}160\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 337 mg; 78%. Found: C, 75.00; H, 5.84%. Calcd for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_5$: C, 74.98; H, 5.81%. ^{13}C NMR $\delta=16.5$ (2C), 43.5 (2C), 52.4 (2C), 54.3 (2C), 81.9 (2C), 121.0 (2C), 121.4 (2C), 125.8 (2C), 126.0 (2C), 143.8 (2C), 145.7 (2C), 147.2 (2C), and 163.0 (2C). IR ν : 1730 and 1635 cm^{-1}] and **5c** [colorless crystals, mp $180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (*lit.*⁷⁾ $175\text{--}180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), 55 mg; 14%. ^1H NMR $\delta=2.26$ (6H, s), 3.69 (6H, s), 7.0–7.1 (4H, m), and 7.3–7.4 (4H, m). ^{13}C NMR $\delta=13.6$ (2C), 49.7 (2C), 51.9 (2C), 120.8 (4C), 124.9 (4C), 147.4 (4C), 150.2 (2C), and 166.0 (2C). IR ν : 1725, 1715, and 1620 cm^{-1}], along with the recovered **2c** (105 mg).

Cycloreversion of 4a to 1a. A triethylene glycol solution (1 cm^3) of **4a** (119 mg) was heated at $210\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 36 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed on a silica-gel column to give **1a** [colorless needles, mp $120\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (*lit.*⁸⁾ mp $118\text{--}119\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), 52 mg; 83%. ^{13}C NMR $\delta=51.3$ (2C), 123.0 (4C), 124.4 (4C), 139.4 (2C), and 146.2 (4C). $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$: 211 nm ($\epsilon=37400$), 215 (40300), 272 (2600), and 279 (3950)].

Cycloreversion of 4b to 1b. A triethylene glycol solution (2 cm^3) of **4b** (60 mg) is heated at $210\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 36 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed on a silica-gel column to give **1b** [colorless needles, mp $94\text{--}96\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (*lit.*⁹⁾ mp $98\text{--}100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$), 28 mg; 86%. ^{13}C NMR $\delta=15.1$, 49.8, 51.3, 120.1 (2C), 122.7 (2C), 124.1 (2C), 124.2 (2C), 140.4, 143.9, 147.3 (2C), and 148.4 (2C). $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$: 211 nm ($\epsilon=35000$), 216 (37500), 272 (2800), and 279 (3700).

Cycloreversion of 4c to 1c. A triethylene glycol solution (2 cm^3) of **4c** (69 mg) is heated at $210\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 36 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue is chromatographed on a silica-gel

column to give **1c** [colorless needles, mp 114–115 °C (*lit.*⁹⁾ mp 117–119 °C), 32.3 mg; 84%.

¹³C NMR δ =15.4 (2C), 49.4 (2C), 119.7 (4C), 123.9 (4C), 144.9 (2C), and 149.5 (4C). $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$: 212 nm (ϵ =36400), 216 (39200), 271 (2300), and 279 (3500).

One-pot Preparation of 1a. A cumene solution (1.5 cm³) of **2a** (90 mg) and **3** (130 mg) is heated at 140 °C for 2 d and then at 210 °C for 1 d. After removal of the volatile material in vacuo, the residue was chromatographed on a silica-gel column to give **1a** (10.3 mg; 35%) and **7a** [colorless crystals, mp 230–232 °C (*lit.*¹⁰⁾ 235–238 °C). 9.7 mg; 17.4%. ¹³C NMR δ =45.1 (2C), 49.1 (4C), 122.9 (4C), 125.4 (4C), 125.6 (4C), 125.7 (4C), 140.4 (4C), and 145.8 (4C)], together with **6** (13 mg), **5a** (21 mg; 45%), and the recovered **2a** (64 mg).

One-pot Preparation of 1b. A cumene solution (1.5 cm³) of **2b** (97 mg) and **3** (133 mg) is heated at 140 °C for 2 d and then at 210 °C for 1 d. The mixture was then separated on a silicagel column and a preparative thin-layer chromatography to give **1b** (25.6 mg; 41%) and **7b** [colorless crystals, mp 285 °C, 8.8 mg; 8%. Found: C, 93.59; H, 6.31%. Calcd for C₃₂H₂₆: C, 93.62; H, 6.38%. ¹H NMR δ =2.05 (6H, s), 2.29 (2H, s), 4.29 (2H, s), and 6.67–7.2 (16H, m). ¹³C NMR δ =15.6 (2C), 44.9 (2C), 46.2 (2C), 51.0 (2C), 119.8 (2C), 122.9 (2C), 123.3 (2C), 124.9 (2C), 125.1 (2C), 125.2 (2C), 125.3 (2C), 125.6 (2C), 140.2 (2C), 142.4 (2C), 145.5 (2C), and 148.6 (2C)], together with **6** (28 mg; 52%), **5b** (45 mg; 46%), and the recovered **2b** (41.7 mg).

One-pot Preparation of 1c. A cumene solution (1 cm³) of **2c** (104 mg) and **3** (130 mg) is heated at 140 °C for 2 d and then at 210 °C for 1 d. After removal of the volatile material in vacuo, the residue was chromatographed on a silica-gel column to give **1c** (43.4 mg; 67%), together with **6** (22.4 mg; 43%), **5c** (23.7 mg; 24%), and the recovered **2c** (46.3 mg).

References

- 1) S. J. Cristol, R. L. Kaufman, S. M. Opitz, W. Szalecki, and T. H. Bindel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 3226 (1983).
- 2) E. Hasebach, L. Neuhaus, R. P. Johnson, K. N. Houk, and M. N. Paddon-Row, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **65**, 1743 (1982).
- 3) D. N. Butler, A. Barrette, and P. A. Snow, *Synthetic Commun.*, **5**, 101 (1975).
- 4) G. R. Tian, S. Sugiyama, A. Mori, and H. Takeshita, *Chem. Lett.*, **1987**, 1557.
- 5) O. Diels and K. Alder, *Ann.*, **486**, 19 (1931).
- 6) P. G. Paddick, K. E. Richards, and G. J. Wright, *Austra. J. Chem.*, **29**, 1005 (1976).
- 7) J. Sauer, H. Wiest, and A. Mielert, *Chem. Ber.*, **97**, 3183 (1964).
- 8) S. J. Cristol and N. L. Hause, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **74**, 2193 (1952).
- 9) S. J. Cristol, J. S. Perry, Jr., and R. S. Beckley, *J. Org. Chem.*, **41**, 1912 (1976).
- 10) S. J. Cristol and D. C. Lewis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 1476 (1967).